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Overview

LWF World Service has a track record over many decades of working with rights-based approaches (RBA). Human Rights vocabulary is firmly part of LWF’s identity and self-understanding. LWF World Service is community-based and rights-based. Rather than focusing on delivery of aid and services, we support people to organize and empower themselves, and to claim their rights. This community-based, rights-based approach has been applied in humanitarian response, in long-term development, and in action and advocacy for justice and human rights at local, national and global level. LWF’s presence in Geneva with its United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) status provides it with unrestricted access to the International Human Rights Mechanisms. Through its Office for International Affairs and Human Rights (OIAHR), LWF has been known for bringing authentic voices from the communities to the UN and it has established links with other important international players in Geneva.

Beginning in 2014, LWF has developed two global initiatives to support implementation, dissemination and mainstreaming of its RBA across country programs from Local to Global level. The two global initiatives focus on 1. Livelihoods/land rights, 2. the Universal Periodic Review (UPR). Both use a project model to link local level action for change to national and international level advocacy, and since 2016, new thematic priorities have been included such as climate justice and women’s rights following the same project model, as well as expansion into new countries such as Chad.

Within LWF, cross-departmental ownership is essential to implementing these initiatives. LWF World Service is working jointly with the Office for International Affairs and Human Rights (within the Department for Theology and Public Witness (DTPW)) as the lead on LWF’s advocacy work, and the initiatives will increasingly include the Department for Mission and Development (DMD), including through the inclusion of Climate Justice as a thematic focus.

From the very start, these initiatives have been jointly designed and owned with partners: close collaboration with related agencies and international partners has been crucial. So far, concrete engagement and resources have come from Bread for the World (BfTW), Church of Sweden (CoS), Finn Church Aid (FCA), the Finnish Evangelical Lutheran Mission (FELM), the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR) and the Swiss embassy in Myanmar. Collaboration with others across the ACT Alliance and beyond is a key part of the approach, and new partnerships have been developed which have brought added-value to this engagement, in particular with the Business and Human Rights Resource Centre (BHRRC). In this report, where we say “we did…” we intend it to be understood that this has been achieved as a result of this kind of collaboration.

Countries engaged in land rights/livelihoods projects in 2017
Countries engaged in UPR projects in 2017
Countries with a prospective RBA project for 2018
Our Local to Global approach

These initiatives are in no way separate from other LWF programmatic work: they overlap, reflect, draw on and feed into it. They do serve however, to make this RBA local to global approach more visible, better resourced, and capable of strengthening LWF’s wider programming as it is mainstreamed. These initiatives allow us to work more intentionally at linking local rights-based activity to national and global advocacy.

- With our focus on impact in the community this national and international level advocacy is directed towards reinforcing local impact, rather than engagement in global policy debate for its own sake.

- Our advocacy is simply amplifying the voice of the communities themselves: it grows out of development / emergency contexts, meaning that the people themselves become agents of change and advocacy.

Land Grabbing – A growing threat in Angola

“I had two hectares where I grew food for my family. Suddenly about a year ago, the area was fenced off and I learned that someone had come with documents saying this land was now his.” — a local farmer in Moxico province.

Watch our short video on land conflicts issues and how LWF supports communities at local, national and international level. https://vimeo.com/266639789
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2017 expenditure for the RBA local to global projects

Overall funding for the RBA local to global projects (2015–2018)
1. Defending land rights of rural communities in Angola

**LOCAL**  LWF Angola has been working with a rights-based approach since 2002, empowering communities to organize themselves through Village Development Committees and building their capacity to claim their rights. With the diversification of the Angolan economy, large land occupation and grabbing is becoming a growing threat in Moxico province. LWF has been supporting 32 villages to raise their awareness on land laws and accompany them in registering their farmers’ association lands as well as community land. Having a land title is the best way to protect them from eviction and abuses; as an example, villagers of Mumanga were able to defend themselves against occupants as they were able to present all the relevant land documentation. Another strand of work is fostering communities’ ability to carry out their social advocacy, which resulted this year into 7 initiatives to demand support from authorities in issues like supply of medicine in medical posts, water systems, construction of schools and agricultural input.

**INTERNATIONAL**  LWF has engaged more strongly on networking with international organizations promoting human rights in Angola, in particular BfdW, sharing information and case studies and will continue its work in 2018 to have land issues considered through the Universal Periodic Review.

2. Fighting against impunity in the light of growing violence against human rights defenders in Colombia

**NATIONAL**  18 months after the signing of the peace agreement between the government and the FARC guerrilla, Colombia is far from experiencing peace. Serious human rights violations continue and attacks against human rights defenders are increasing, making Colombia one of the most dangerous countries for human rights defenders in the world and the second country in the world with most socio-environmental conflicts. Environmental defenders suffer a very high risk, especially those who oppose extractive megaprojects. Colombia is struggling to recover from over 50 years of armed conflict, which according to official numbers have caused over 220,000 deaths, 80,000 forcibly disappeared and more than 7.4 million displaced from their homes.

Colombian civil society is deeply concerned over increasing violence against environmental and civil society leaders defending the territorial rights of Afro-Colombian communities, indigenous people and peasants. “Social conflicts, environmental conflicts, are taking place, and those who lead protests and resistance against these projects, are being attacked,” says Ana Maria Rodriguez, representing the national civil society coalitions.

**LOCAL**  Vulnerable communities are directly suffering from the lack of engagement on issues of land and environmental conflicts. Gabriel Urbano, of the Corporación de Dessarrollo Solidario de Montes de María, a local organization working to protect land rights, states that despite an abundance of water and food production in his community, 90% of the population does not have access to clean water and the palm oil industry is destroying food crops.
INTERNATIONAL

With support from international partners such as LWF and CoS, Colombian civil society is raising its voice to ensure that human rights violations in Colombia do not remain invisible: as the peace process is seen as a major achievement and positive outcome internationally, it tends to eclipse the more worrying trends taking place in the country. Through participation in international and regional human rights mechanisms, Colombian civil society has shown its determination to shed light on the assassinations and attacks against local leaders and to hold the government accountable to end impunity and address the causes of this phenomenon.

A parallel UPR report by civil society, with support of LWF, speaks of assassinations of human rights defenders, corruption and a failure to guarantee fundamental human rights to the ethnic communities, among others. Ahead of the UPR for Colombia, LWF supported local organizations to advocate and increase international pressure on the Colombian government.

A lasting peace in Colombia will only be possible if the country engages in a number of structural changes which include ensuring fulfilment of their economic, social, cultural and environmental rights for all.

3. Empowering local communities to claim their rights in Mozambique

LOCAL

Through its Land Rights project in Sofala province, LWF has accompanied a number of Community-Based Organisations to develop their own advocacy plans and claim their rights. Since the project started, in the 36 communities in Chibabava and Buzi districts that LWF is supporting, 55 local advocacy initiatives were successful and reached their objective: the communities of Chiboma and Jirome received seed vouchers, whilst Hamamamba, Njote, Masesso, Mussenemo and Bopira obtained the visit of agricultural technicians. Several communities were able to get training for their polyvalent health agents, and others managed to have literacy centres set up in their villages, or civil registration brigades sent out to ensure registration of new-borns.

COMMUNITY-led advocacy action has shown powerful results, with Community Development Centres (CDC) feeling empowered to apply for government funding: the CDC of Jirome obtained a grant of 700,000 MZN (11,000 USD). At the same time, LWF has supported Natural Resources Management Committees to be set up and strengthened in the communities. Legally these committees are entitled to receive 20% of all tax revenues gathered by the authorities from exploitation of natural resources by private actors on their land. Before 2015, there was virtually no application of this rule, and the Committees had received no funds, while in 2017, the Committees of Muxungue and Mussenemo each received over 10,000 USD of tax revenues.

NATIONAL

LWF is continuing to collaborate with national civil society, through coalitions and platforms that have together published a Land Profile of Mozambique, which provides a comprehensive analysis of the situation in terms of legislation and practice around land in Mozambique with specific case studies carried out on allegations of land grabbing in different regions of the country.

INTERNATIONAL

Best practices and strategies to combat land grabbing were shared between Angolan and Mozambican civil society organizations through a visit from Angolan CSOs to Maputo in July 2017 thanks to support from BfdW. International collaboration has been key in ensuring follow-up on certain cases, such as the Nickel land grabbing case: a meeting was organized with the company management in Mozambique and the shareholders from the Netherlands, to raise the concerns of the affected communities. Now that the dialogue has been established with the company, and following a legal assessment commissioned by LWF, a mediation process is being set up between the company and the communities to hold the company accountable of its human rights abuses.
ACHIEVING IMPACT THROUGH THE UNIVERSAL PERIODIC REVIEW

1. Marshalling collective efforts to implement UPR recommendations on peace and reconciliation in South Sudan

After the outcome report of the South Sudan UPR was adopted by the UN Human Rights Council in March 2017, in which the Government of South Sudan accepted 203 out of 233 recommendations, LWF embarked on creating space for the Government and Civil Society Organizations to swiftly begin planning for the UPR implementation phase with support of key partners such as BfdW, FCA and CoS.

INTERNATIONAL

In March 2017, LWF co-organized a brainstorming advocacy session in Geneva to strategize on how best to advocate for lasting peace and reconciliation in South Sudan. More than twenty members of the advocacy group, the Ecumenical Network for South Sudan-European Hub participated in the event. In addition to identifying durable peace and reconciliation in South Sudan as a priority, the group also looked at ways by which they can contribute toward humanitarian assistance and development, as well as the issue of civil society space and human rights in South Sudan.

In the advocacy plan of action, it was resolved that collective effort will be committed to providing moral, technical and material support to the South Sudan Council of Churches Action Plan for Peace and Reconciliation as a strategic entry point to accelerating the realization of lasting peace in South Sudan from below.

LOCAL

Following the development of the South Sudan UPR implementation matrix, the draft document was circulated in LWF field offices in the Greater Upper Nile Region and shared with community members to gauge their feedback, which became part of the final document. Efforts are underway to continue engaging the communities at the grassroots level in the Greater Equatoria and Bahr El-Ghazal Regions as part of the implementation process of accepted UPR recommendations on peace and reconciliation in South Sudan.

NATIONAL

In April 2017, LWF facilitated a training workshop on UPR implementation in Juba, South Sudan in which more than thirty members of the UPR civil society coalition and faith-based organizations participated. The training workshop mainstreamed accepted UPR recommendations on peace and reconciliation into the respective programmatic work of the organizations that participated. A UPR implementation matrix of accepted recommendations on peace and reconciliation was developed and outlined several steps on how to work closely with the Government of South Sudan to ensure those recommendations were implemented. The draft UPR implementation matrix was validated as the final plan of action by the South Sudan UPR civil society and faith-based organizations in another workshop that LWF facilitated in December 2017 and in which some members of the parliament and the chairperson of the South Sudan Human Rights Commission participated and endorsed the document as the way forward for South Sudan UPR.

NATIONAL

In Uganda, LWF joined the national coalition on UPR with support from BfdW and has been leading a newly-created cluster promoting the rights of refugees and post-conflict communities. After the UPR adoption session in March 2016, close collaboration between the 350 CSOs comprising the platform and the relevant state authorities resulted in the development of a national framework for sustainable implementation of UPR recommendations. LWF and the refugees’ rights cluster have been advocating for improving access to justice, resulting in Uganda accepting recommendations on improving access to justice and ending the detention of juveniles alongside adults.

INTERNATIONAL
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Maria (name changed) stresses the problem of transportation to go to court and welcomes the mobile aid clinics organized by LWF: “Every time I go to court I have to sell a chicken to cater for transport and a meal, I have no more chicken to sell so as to go to court.” Photo: LWF Uganda
As a follow-up, LWF has worked closely with district authorities to bring about concrete changes at local level. One of the greatest hinderers for refugees to access justice is the long distances to law courts and their ignorance of the law and legal procedures. To bridge the gap, organizing legal aid clinics in ten different sub counties was an effective way to bring legal services closer to the community. As a result, 192 vulnerable and marginalized men and women received direct one-on-one legal counseling; some were referred to court representation, alternative dispute resolution and other legal aid services. In addition, 5062 persons were made aware of land rights, gender-based violence and access to justice, which are the major legal issues affecting the communities. Close partnership with Uganda Law Society Legal Aid Project, local authorities and lawyers from partner organizations was key in making this initiative successful.

On ensuring juveniles are not detained with adults, a milestone was achieved in Kamwenge District where a separate juvenile detention facility is currently under construction. A Probation Officer was also recruited to ensure that cases of juveniles in conflict with the law are disposed expeditiously by the justice system. Furthermore, during the District Coordination Committee (DCC) meetings in Pader and Kitgum Districts, it was resolved that the DCC carry impromptu prison inspections. 15 inmates were released and 13 children reunited with their families thanks to three inspections which brought to light cases of illegal detention, among others. Follow-up actions on health issues also included delivery of mosquito nets to breastfeeding mothers to reduce risks of contracting malaria, supply of medicine and allocation of a nurse to the district detention facility. Such progress was made possible with LWF and civil society working hand-in-hand with eleven institutions, from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Gender and Justice to the Police and Lands Offices to only name a few.

A major achievement in increasing local leadership was reached as 63 people from LWF Nepal target communities were elected to different positions at local, provincial and federal level in 2017. Having representatives appointed as Deputy Mayor, Ward Chairperson, Ward Member, to only name a few, is a significant shift for communities’ voices to be heard and for concrete measures to be taken.

LWF Nepal also initiated a pilot program to monitor Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ESCR), focusing especially on right to education and right to health, using advanced Mobile App Technology for the first time. The ESCR yearbook was published incorporating the findings collected and was shared with a wide range of stakeholders including relevant government agencies. The pilot was carried out in one district in 2017 with a vision to expand it to other districts and to incorporate other rights.
### Key processes

**Local**

- Communities are made aware of their land rights in Angola and Mozambique and are supported to start their land registration.
- Rural communities in Angola and Mozambique are empowered to successfully demand accountability to relevant local duty-bearers through advocacy and human rights trainings.
- In Myanmar, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.
- In Nepal, a series of meetings were held with local government for the birth registration of Bhutanese refugees. Awareness sessions were also held with Bhutanese refugees on the importance of self-help groups and saving/credit practices as a way to become self-reliant.

In Mozambique, 2 communities were able to receive the 20% revenue tax from logging businesses (10’000 USD each).

In Myanmar, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.

Some villages in targeted areas (Delta, Kayin, Chin and Rakhine) have started the legalization process on their land and birth registration.

In Nepal, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.
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### Key achievements

**Local**

- 42 villages in Mozambique and Angola had their land demarcated and 26 villages have started the legalization process.
- 53 initiatives were channeled through the Village Development Committees in Angola and Mozambique to demand support from authorities.
- In Mozambique, 2 communities were able to receive the 20% revenue tax from logging businesses (10’000 USD each).
- In Myanmar, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.
- In Myanmar, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.
- In Myanmar, LWF and partners have sensitized communities on issues of land legislation and birth registration; baseline data on rates of birth registration has been gathered in targeted communities.
- Damak and Pathari Municipalities, where most of the Bhutanese refugees are living, have started birth registration of Bhutanese refugees. 6 saving and credit groups of Bhutanese refugees were established, with verbal commitment from Damak and Pathari Municipalities to be flexible for the business registration process.

**National**

- LWF South Sudan with support from BfdW and FCA brought together 30 civil society organizations together to mainstream accepted UPR recommendations on peace and reconciliation into their respective programmatic work.
- In Kenya, LWF and the UPR Steering Committee finalised the 1st draft of the CSO UPR Midterm report which involved over 38 organizations, including an additional 10 organizations who had not engaged with the UPR process before, showing a broader engagement and participation of Kenyan civil society.
- LWF Ethiopia has been actively involved in the Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework (CRRF), and supported the Ethiopian government in the launching process.
- In Uganda, LWF helped facilitate a UPR multi-stakeholder consultative meeting with both state and non-state actors to assess progress in the implementation of accepted UPR recommendations, challenges and ways forward.

**International**

- LWF and CoS supported the Colombian platform on human rights, democracy and development in their advocacy visit to Geneva for the review of Colombia in the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.
- LWF World Service Country Programs participated for the first time in the UNHCR annual consultations with NGOs in Geneva. Participants acquired in-depth knowledge about the Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework (CRRF), and had the opportunity to network with other NGOs to influence global refugee policies.
- LWF organized a Global Workshop in Geneva bringing together representatives of 10 LWF country programs with an existing or prospective RBA L2G project.

Findings and recommendations from the external global evaluation were shared with all countries and related agencies.